

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/615,130 07/08/2003		Dennis R. Zander	87054.000006	8068		
7590	10/18/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		MCCARRY JR, ROBERT J				
	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Rochester, NY 14604-2711				3617		
	7590 3. Salai, Es crest & Eme ch & Lomb	07/08/2003 7590 10/18/2005 3. Salai, Esq. crest & Emery LLP ch & Lomb Place	07/08/2003 Dennis R. Zander  7590 10/18/2005  3. Salai, Esq. crest & Emery LLP ch & Lomb Place	07/08/2003         Dennis R. Zander         87054.000006           7590         10/18/2005         EXAM           3. Salai, Esq.         MCCARRY IS           crest & Emery LLP         ART UNIT		

**DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/615,13	30	ZANDER, DENNIS R.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Robert J.	McCarry, Jr.	3617				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu			ne correspondence address -	•			
	• •		O EVENE A MONT	FLVO) OD TUUDTY (20) DAY	<b>(</b> 0			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MISSIONS of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum sere to reply within the set or extended period for repleply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF TH s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. statutory period will apply and w y will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICAT ent, however, may a reply b ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS t lication to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this communication DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>05/27/2005</u> .						
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits							
	closed in accordance with the pract	tice under <i>Ex parte</i> Qu	<i>ayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🖂	☐ Claim(s) <u>10-27</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restri	iction and/or election r	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a) accepted or b)	objected to by t	he Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s)	oe held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is requi	ed if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	?1(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152	<u>2</u> .			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a clain	n for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority	y documents have bee	n received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority							
	3. Copies of the certified copies	s of the priority docum	ents have been rec	eived in this National Stage				
	application from the Internati	·						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office acti	on for a list of the cert	fied copies not rec	eived.				
844.c = 4-								
Attachmen  1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (		Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 c	or PTO/SB/08)	5)  Notice of Inform 6)  Other:	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date		÷/					

Art Unit: 3617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The Examiner has acknowledged the renumbering of the claims. The claims now read as 1-27.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lane et al (US 6,025,789) in view of Quinn et al (US 5,492,290) further in view of Jenks (US 3,163,125).

Lane et al discloses a model train sensor and signal comprised of a UHF receiver 10, which detects a remote signal from a train and determines the proximity of the train. The receiver sends the signal to a microcontroller 30, which monitors the time of the signal, received by the train and then activates a visual and audible signal. When a signal is transmitted it is in the form of a yellow signal 44 showing that a signal is being received and monitored for a predetermined length of time set by the microcontroller 30. When the predetermined time is met the microcontroller interprets a train is in the controlled path and lights a red signal 48, which shows other trains in the system to stop. When the microcontroller determines the train has passed the red signal is deactivated and a green signal 52 is then activated, showing other trains in the system

Application/Control Number: 10/615,130

Art Unit: 3617

that it is safe to precede. The flowchart of figure 2 shows the step by step functioning of

the system.

Lane et al discloses a proximity detector and signaling system as described above. However, Lane et al does not specifically state that the system is used with a model train. Quinn et al discloses a proximity control system for a model train system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used the reference of Quinn et al as a teaching to show that proximity sensing systems, like that of Lane et al, can be used in model train systems.

Lane et al discloses a proximity detector and signaling system as described above. However, Lane et al does not disclose the use of a semaphore as a type of signal. Jenks discloses a semaphore arm 11 having red, yellow and green filters mounted on opening 13, 14 and 15 respectively and pass over a light when the arm of the semaphore moves. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a semaphore as a type of signal to show that a part of the train system is empty and safe for a vehicle to proceed or to show that the system is full and stop the vehicle.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lane et al (US 6,025,789) in view of Bonanno (US 2,925,584).

Lane et al discloses a proximity detector and signaling system as described above. However, Lane et al does not disclose the use of a swinging banjo as a type of signal for the vehicles. Bonanno discloses a swinging banjo signal to signal for trains to precede or stop at a certain point on a train system. When a train passes and energizes the circuit of the banjo signal the signal will swing to show that it is unsafe for another

Application/Control Number: 10/615,130

Art Unit: 3617

train to proceed. When there is no train to energize the circuit the banjo will sit stationary to show that it is safe to proceed through the system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a swinging banjo as a type of signal for trains to convey a stop signal or a proceed signal.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10-27 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J. McCarry, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-6683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:00am to 3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Joseph Morano can be reached on (571) 272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/615,130

Art Unit: 3617

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert J. McCarry, Jr

Examiner Art Unit 3617

RJM October 5, 2005

> S. JOSEPH MORANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 5